



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/995,218 | 11/27/2001 | John S. Wronski JR. | F-421 | 9211 |

919 7590 05/05/2003

PITNEY BOWES INC.
35 WATERVIEW DRIVE
P.O. BOX 3000
MSC 26-22
SHELTON, CT 06484-8000

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

FELTEN, DANIEL S

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
| 3624 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Application No. 09/995,218 | Applicant(s) Wronski |
| Examiner Daniel Felten | Art Unit 3624 |



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Daniel Felten _____ (3) _____
(2) Angelo N. Chacras (Reg. No. 39,134) _____ (4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 24, 2003Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Foldare et al (US 5,914,472)Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

A discussion of the how Foldare meets the claim limitations of providing a plurality of authorization parameters, defining a selected subset of authorization parameters, and calculating the authorization code was discussed. An amendment was proposed to distinguish over Foldare by further defining the limitation regarding calculating the authorization code.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached



VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required